IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7354 of 2010
Date of decision:26.04.2010

Mool Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.N.D.Achint, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

M.M.KUMAR, J.

There is no explanation for challenging the acquisition proceedings after more than 10 years. A perusal of the paper book shows that notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for brevity` the Act') was issued on 5.5.1997 and thereafter declaration was made on 4.5.1998, which culminated into the award. In absence of any plausible explanation, the writ petition cannot be entertained at such a belated stage. Moreover, CWP No.1051 of 2010 filed by some other person was also dismissed on 21.1.2010, which was directed against the same notification involving the same khasra number. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

(M.M.KUMAR)
JUDGE

26.04.2010 **(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**
mk **JUDGE**